

Application No. 10/750,619  
Amendment dated July 3, 2007  
Reply to Office Action of April 5, 2007

Docket No.: S0193.0006

**AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

The attached sheets of drawings includes changes to Figures 1-3.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-24 have been examined with all claims rejected.

***Drawings***

The drawings have been objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as allegedly not showing all features specified in the claims. It is the Examiner's position that the steps of -"comparing the adjusted searcher thresholds with detection values of propagation paths of the communications signal" and "identifying the optimal propagation paths," as recited in claim 9, are not shown in the drawings.

In response, Applicant has amended Fig. 3 to include these steps, and has amended the specification accordingly.

Also, Figures 1 and 2 have been labeled as "Prior Art," as requested by the Examiner.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the drawing objections is respectfully requested.

***Claim Rejections – 35 USC 112***

Claims 1-24 have been rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. It is the Examiner's position that the recitation of "calculating a scaler based on the ISCP measurements only" is not described in the specification.

In response, Applicant has amended the claims to recite "calculating a scaler based on normalized values of the ISCP measurements only." Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/750,619  
Amendment dated July 3, 2007  
Reply to Office Action of April 5, 2007

Docket No.: S0193.0006

In view of the above, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: July 3, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By Laura C. Brutman  
Laura C. Brutman

Registration No.: 38,395  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP  
1177 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036-2714  
(212) 277-6500  
Attorney for Applicant